

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT DANVILLE, VA
FILED

MAY - 7 2019

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK
BY: *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

United States of America)

v.)

CURTIS DARYLE TINSLEY)

Case No: 4:06CR00009-002

USM No: 23016-057

Date of Previous Judgment: 05/22/2007)

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

) Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Defendant's guidelines range was calculated pursuant to USSG section 4B1.1(c)(3), and his guidelines remain the same. Application of the First Step Act would not result in a lower sentence for Defendant. See also Pub. L. No. 115-391 sec. 404, 132 Stat. 5194, 5222 (2018) ("Nothing in this section shall be construed to require a court to reduce any sentence..."). Defendant's Motions [ECF No. 237, 241] are DENIED.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 05/22/2007 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: _____

5/7/2019

[Signature]
Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____

(if different from order date)

Hon. Jackson L. Kiser, Senior U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title